Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/887,491	ABE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Justin E. Shepard	2424	

	odotiii E. Oliopaid	2727			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 16 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
 \(\)\[\]\[\]\] The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 operiods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the (3) a Request		
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	of the final rejection.				
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire!	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.198(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of		
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
AMENDMENTS					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			cause		
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 		i E below);			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		tucina or simplifyina t	he issues for		
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	adding or simplifying t	10 133403 101		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).				
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 	See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).		
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:,				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-5 and 7-40.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		

13. Other: _____.

/Christopher Kelley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2424

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitations added to the claims can be found in previously rejected independent claims.